UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,782	10/16/2003	Tomohito Ota	023971-0323	7012
	7590 06/06/200° LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIN/	GOLOBOY, JAMES C		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1714	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/685,782	OTA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James Goloboy	1714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	ay 2007.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,782 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

1. Please note the new examiner of record.

2. The finality of the previous office action is withdrawn and the amendment of 5/21/07 has been made of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seguchi in view of JP 63-179965 and Wicks (*Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Coatings*, John Wiley & Sons, 2002, p. 90).

The discussions of Seguchi and JP 63-179965 in paragraph 5 of the office action mailed 1/19/07 and paragraph 13 of the office action mailed 4/24/06 are incorporated here by reference.

Seguchi and JP 63-179965 disclose the combination of a lubricating oil and a thermoplastic resin composition of claim 5, but do not disclose the surface energy (surface tension) of the lubricating oil. Wicks, in the first paragraph of section 6.1, teaches that for wetting to occur, the surface tension of the coating (lubricating oil) must be lower than the surface energy of the substrate (thermoplastic resin). In light of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select a lubricating oil with a surface energy meeting the limitations of claim 5, in order to obtain good wetting of the surface with the lubricant.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,782 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Yamamoto in view of Seguchi.

This rejection is adequately set forth in the office action mailed 1/19/07.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 5/21/07 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with regard to the rejection of claim 5 are moot in light of

the new grounds of rejection, which incorporate a teaching that motivates the selection

of a resin and a lubricant meeting the surface energy requirement of claim 5.

In response to applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claim 6,

Yamamoto teaches that a thermoplastic resin may be incorporated into the fluororesin.

It is the examiner's position that in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the product

obtained by the method of Yamamoto when the proportions of fluororesin and

thermoplastic resin taught by Seguchi are used, is the same as the product produced by

the method of claim 6.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/685,782 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Goloboy whose telephone number is 571-272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Javoes (- Coloboy JCG

/Vasu Jagannathan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700